IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE SIDE, JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.284 OF 2006

Dr.Seema Rao ..Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and Ors. ..Respondents.

. . .

Mr.Shrikant Bhat with Mr.R.G.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.A.S.Gadkari, APP, for the State.

. . .

CORAM : D.G.DESHPANDE AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, JJ.
DATED : MARCH 22, 2006.

P.C.:

. Heard. Rule returnable forthwith.

2. The anxiety of the petitioner is that the Police are not tracing the two absconding accused against whom she has lodged a FIR under Section 420 of IPC. The accused are absconding since last five years. During investigation, this Court has passed various orders in this Petition. As per the statement made by the learned APP and from compilation given, it is clear that the Police are making all out sincere efforts to trace out the accused.

3. The learned Advocate for the petitioner

states that he has given certain suggestions to the Investigating Officer for further investigation to trace out the accused. The Investigating Officer is present in Court, and he admits to have received those suggestions and undertakes that he will follow the suggestions to the best of his ability. Therefore, Petition is disposed of.

4. The police to pursue their efforts till they trace out and arrest the absconding accused by taking into consideration whatever suggestions given by the petitioner. If for pursuing those efforts, any telephone is required to be tapped, the Police may do so, if they find it necessary and proper, after obtaining necessary permission from the competent authorities.

(D.G.DESHPANDE, J.)

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)